CAIRNGORMSNATIONALPARKAUTHORITY

MINUTESOFTHEPLANNINGCOMMITTEE

heldwithinTheVictoryHall,Aboyne on3 rd June2005at10.30am

PRESENT

EricBaird AnneMacLean StuartBlack SandyPark DuncanBryden AndrewRafferty BasilDunlop GregorRim ell **DouglasGlass JoyceSimpson** SheenaSlimon LucyGrant MarcusHumphrey DavidSelfridge BruceLuffman RichardStroud EleanorMackintosh AndrewThin AlastairMacLennan SueWalker

INATTENDANCE:

DonMcKee NeilStewart AndrewTait PipMackie

MaryGrier

APOLOGIES:

SallyDowden WillieMcKenna AngusGordon BobWilson

DavidGreen

WELCOMEANDAPOLOGIES

- 1. TheConvenorwelcomedallpresent.
- 2. ApologieswerereceivedfromSallyDowden,DavidGreen,AngusGordon,Willie McKenna&BobWilson.

MATTERSARISINGFROMTHEPREVIOUSMEETING

- 3. The minutes of the previous meeting, 20 th May 2005, held in Grantown on Spey were approved.
- 4. Andrew Thin advised the Committee that he and Planning Officials had met representativesfromAviemoreHighlandResortwhere afullandfrankdiscussion hadtakenplaceregardingplanningissues.

DECLARATION OF INTEREST BY MEMBERS ON ANY ITEMS APPEARING ON THEAGENDA

- 5. The Highland Councillors declared an interest in Planning Application No. 05/233/CP.
- 6. LucyGrantdeclaredani nterestinPlanningApplicationNo.05/242/CP.
- 7. EricBairddeclaredaninterestinPlanningApplicationNo.05/245/CP.
- 8. AlastairMacLennandeclaredaninterestinPlanningApplicationNo.05/247/CP.
- 9. The Aberdeenshire Councillors declared an interestin Item No. 8 on the Agenda.
- 10. Sheena Slimonde clared an interest in Item No. 9 on the Agenda.
- 11. The Highland Councillors declared an interest in Item No. 14 on the Agenda.

PLANNINGAPPLICATIONCALL -INDECISIONS (OralPresentation, Andrew Tait)

12.05/229/CP -	NoCal I-in
13.05/230/CP -	NoCall -in
14.05/231/CP -	NoCall -in
15.05/232/CP -	NoCall -in
16.05/233/CP -	NoCall -in
17.05/234/CP -	NoCall -in
18.05/235/CP -	NoCall -in
19.05/236/CP -	NoCall -in
20.05/237/CP -	NoCall -in
21.05/238/CP -	NoCall -in
22.05/239/CP -	NoCall -in
23.05/240/CP -	NoCall -in
24.05/241/CP -	NoCall -in
25.05/242/CP -	NoCall -in
26.05/243/CP -	NoCall -in
27.05/244/CP -	NoCall -in
28.05/245/CP -	NoCall -in
29.05/246/CP -	NoCall -in
30.05/247/CP -	NoCall -in
31.05/248/CP -	
	NoCall -in
32.05/249/CP -	NoCall -in
33.05/250/CP -	NoCall -in

COMMENTINGONAPPLICAT IONSNOTCALLED -INBYTHECOMMITTEE

- 34. It was agreed that comments be made to the Local Authorities on Planning Application No's 05/232/CP, 05/242/CP, 05/243/CP 05/246/CP, 05/247/CP & 05/250/CP.
- 35. The Highland Councillors declared an interest and left thero om.

36. ItwasagreedtosubmitthefollowingcommentstoHighlandCouncilonPlanning ApplicationNo.05/232/CP:

The CNPA note that as a result of its previous comments on an application at the site at ree and plants urvey was under taken. With regard to it snatural heritage aim and in line with earlier comments the CNPA would request that careful consideration is given to retention of trees where very ossible on the site.

- 37. LucyGrantdeclaredaninterestandlefttheroom.
- 38. Itwasagreedtosubmitthefollowi ngcommentstoHighlandCouncilonPlanning ApplicationNo.05/242/CP:

Thisisaprominentsiteinthelocallandscapeandwithregardtoits naturaland culturalheritageaimtheCNPAwouldraiseconcern regardinglandscapeimpact of engineeringworksre quiredtoaccommodateanydwellingatthissite. While recognisingthatthisisanoutlineapplication,shouldtheproposalprove acceptableanyfuturedesignproposalshouldbesympathetictothenearbystone cottagesandbesubmittedwithadetailedlan dscapingscheme.

- 39. LucyGrantreturned.
- 40. AlastairMacLennandeclaredaninterestandlefttheroom.
- 41. ItwasagreedtosubmitthefollowingcommentstoHighlandCouncilonPlanning ApplicationNo.05/243/CP:

With regard to its cultural heritage aim, and shou Id the proposal prove acceptable, the CNPA would request that aphotographic record of the building is taken prior to anyworks of demolition.

- 42. AlastairMacLennanreturned.
- 43. ItwasagreedtosubmitthefollowingcommentstoHighlandCouncilonPlanning ApplicationNo.05/247/CP:

While recognising that the application is in outline form with regard to its natural heritage aim the CNPA note the prominence of the site and should the proposal prove acceptable a full landscaping scheme should be submitted with any reserved matters application, careful consideration should also be given to the scale and design of any dwelling proposed in detail for the site.

- 44. The Highland Councillors returned.
- 45. The Aberdeenshire Councillors declared an interest and left the room.
- 46. It was agreed to submit the following comments to Aberdeenshire Council on PlanningApplicationNo.05/246/CP:

Withregardtoitsnaturalandculturalheritage aimandshouldtheproposalprove acceptable careful consideration should be given to the sca le of the dwelling proposed andits relationship with the existing trees around the site which should

be retained wherever possible. A full landscaping scheme should also be submitted forthesite.

47. It was agreed to submit the following comments to Aberdee nshire Council on PlanningApplicationNo.05/250/CP:

Withregardtoitsnaturalandcultural heritageaimstheCNPAwouldrequestthat considerationbegiven toundergroundingofthetelephoneline.

48. The Aberdeen shire Councillors returned.

REPORT ON CA LLED-IN PLANNING APPLICATION FORMATION OF NEW DWELLINGHOUSEATCOULNAKYLECOTTAGE, NETHYBRIDGE (Paper1)

- 49. Andrew Tait presented a paper recommending that the Committee approve the application subject to the conditions stated in the report. AT advised the Committee that the Applicanth adattended the meeting should Members wish to ask questions.
- 50. The Committee briefly discussed the application and the following points were raised:
 - a) The possibility of approving the application subject to an occupancy restriction.
- 51. Bruce Luffman proposed a Motion to Approve the application subject to an occupancyrestriction. This was seconded by Marcus Humphrey.
- 52. David Selfridge proposed an Amendment to approve the application as per the recommendation in the report. This was seconded by Andrew Rafferty.

 The votewas as follows:

NAME	MOTION	AMENDMENT	ABSTAIN
<u> </u>	,		
EricBaird	V		
DuncanBryden		$\sqrt{}$	
StuartBlack	$\sqrt{}$		
BasilDunlop			
DouglasGlass			
LucyGrant			
MarcusHumphrey			
BruceLuffman			
EleanorMackintosh	$\sqrt{}$		
AlastairMacLennan			
AnneMacLean			
SandyPark			
AndrewRafferty			
GregorRimell			
DavidSelfridge			
JoyceSimpson			
SheenaSlimon			

RichardStroud	$\sqrt{}$		
AndrewThin		$\sqrt{}$	
SusanWalker	$\sqrt{}$		
TOTAL	9	11	0

53. The Committee agreed to app rove the application subject to the conditions in the report.

REPORT ON CNPA CONSULTATION RESPONSE TO ABERDEENSHIRE COUNCIL ON FORMATION OF WIND FARM AT HILL OF SNOWY SLACK, KILDRUMMY, ALFORD (PAPER2)

- 54. The Aberdeenshire Councillors declared an interest and left the room.
- 55. Andrew Tait presented a paper recommending that the Committee agree the consultation response in the report to be submitted to Aberdeen shire Council.
- 56. The Committee briefly discussed the consultation response and the following pointswere raised:
 - a) VisualimpactfromMorvenandtheLadderHills.
 - b) GoldenEaglesurveyinformation.
 - c) Impactsonhillwalking.
 - d) Impactsonfieldsports.
- 57. The Committee agreed the consultation response to be submitted to Aberdeenshire Council, with amendments to comments C & D to include reference to the impacts on high value recreational activities such as field sports.
- 58. The Aberdeenshire Councillors returned.

REPORT ON CALLED -IN PLANNING APPLICATION FOR A VEHICLE HILL TRACKATDRUMOCHTERLODGE, DALWHINNIE (PAPER3)

- 59. SheenaSlimondeclaredaninterestandlefttheroom.
- 60. Mary Grier presented a paper recommending that the Committee approve the application subject to the conditions stated in the report.
- 61. The Committee briefly discussed the application and the following points were raised:
 - a) Theprovisionofpublicaccesstothehill.
 - b) Thetimescaleforthereinstatementofthetrack.
 - c) Thattheconstructionmethodbeagreedpriortoanyworkstakingplace.
- 62. The Committee agreed to approve the application for the reasons stated in the reportplus additional conditions covering pedestrian access, construction method and reinstatement timescale.
- 63. SheenaSlimonreturned.

е

REPORT ON CALLED -IN PLANNING APPLICATION FOR ERECTION OF DWELLINGHOUSEATLANDSOUTHOFMOORHOUSEANDSOUTHWESTO F BALBEG,BALNESPICK,KINCRAIG (PAPER4)

- 64. Mary Grier presented a paper recommending that the Committee refuse the application for the reasons stated in the report.
- 65. The Committee briefly discussed the application and the following points were raised:
 - a) ConcernaboutpointsraisedinthelettersubmittedbytheApplicants.
 - b) The Convenor sending a reply to the Applicants addressing their concerns and offering a meeting between the mand CNPA Officials.
- 66. The Committee agreed to refuse the application for the reasons stated in the report.

REPORT ON CALLED -IN PLANNING APPLICATION FOR USE OF LAND FOR SITING RESIDENTIAL CARAVAN AT SITE TO EAST OF TOMNAGAUN STEADING, BALNAFETTACH, CROMDALE (PAPER5)

- 67. Neil Stewart presented a paper recommending that the Committee refuse application subject to the conditions stated in the report.
- 68. The Committee briefly discussed the application and the following points were raised:
 - a) Wasthereanagriculturalneedfor24hourcoveronsite.
 - b) Thepossibilityofapprovingtheapplicationsu bjecttoatimerestriction.
 - c) Personalcircumstancesnotbeingaplanningconsideration.
- 69. AndrewRaffertyproposedaMotiontoapprovetheapplicationsubjecttoa1year timelimit.ThiswassecondedbyLucyGrant.
- 70. Richard Stroud proposed an Amendment to recommendation in the recommendation as per the recommendation in the report. This was seconded by Bruce Luffman.

Thevotewasasfollows:

NAME	MOTION	AMENDMENT	ABSTAIN
EricBaird		V	
DuncanBryden		V	
StuartBlack	$\sqrt{}$		
BasilDunlop	$\sqrt{}$		
DouglasGlass	$\sqrt{}$		
LucyGr ant	$\sqrt{}$		
MarcusHumphrey			
BruceLuffman			
EleanorMackintosh	$\sqrt{}$		
AlastairMacLennan	$\sqrt{}$		
AnneMacLean		$\sqrt{}$	
SandyPark	√		
AndrewRafferty			

GregorRimell	V		
DavidSelfridge			
JoyceSimpson		$\sqrt{}$	
SheenaSlimon			
RichardStroud		V	
AndrewThin		V	
SusanWalker		V	
TOTAL	9	11	0

71. The Committee agreed to refuse the application for the reasons stated in the report, and authorised that enforcement procedures be undertaken to remove the caravan within 3 months of the date of the Enforcement N otice.

REPORT ON CALLED -IN PLANNING APPLICATION FOR REFURBISHMENT & PARTIAL REPLACEMENT OF WATER MAIN AND BREAK PRESSURE TANK, REALIGNMENT OF PART OF ACCESS TRACK & ASSOCIATED WORKS AT GLENEINICH, AVIEMORE (PAPER6)

- 72. Neil Stewart presented a paper recommending that the Committee approve the application subject to the conditions stated in the report.
- 73. Andrew Thin advised that Mr Wilson, a representative for Scottish Water Solutions, had requested to address the Committee. The Committee agreed the request.
- 74. MrWilsonaddressedtheCommitteeandquestionswereinvitedfrommembers.
- 75. AndrewThinthankedMrWilson.
- 76. The Committee briefly discussed the application and the following points were raised:
 - a) Thereinstatementoftracksafterworkshadbeencarriedout.
 - b) Thereductionofvisualimpact.
 - c) Theneedfortheupgradingofthepipeline, interms of additional capacity.
 - d) Clarification of the condition of the lower track and sections to be upgraded/reinstated.
 - e) The proposals being a significant improvement to the orig inal suggested proposals following early discussions within terested parties.
- 77. The Committee agreed to approve the application for the reasons stated in the report. In addition clarification on works to the lower tracks hall be sought and additional condition requiring the submission of details, imposed if required.
- 78. Douglas Glassleft themeeting.

REPORT ON CONSULTANT'S REVIEW OF THE COMMENTING & CALL -IN PROCESS&APOLICYSTATEMENTONCOMMENTING (PAPER7)

- 79. DonMcKeepresentedtwopapersandrecommen dedthattheCommitteeagree thefindingsandpolicystatement.
- 80. The Committee briefly discussed the papers and the following points were raised:
 - a) Thecall -inprocessappearingtoworkwell.
 - b) TheusefulnessofcommentingtoLocalAuthorities.
 - c) Thetimingandc larityofthecommentssubmittedtoLocalAuthorities.
 - d) Monitoringofthesystems.
 - e) The Local Authorities rarely using the Park aims as reasons for refusal in applicationsnotcalled -in.
 - f) CommentsshouldhavetheconsensusofthePlanningCommittee.
 - g) Concern o ver Members individually raising issues for planning officers to submitcommentsupon.
- 81. The Committee agreed the papers.

REPORT ON CALLED -IN PLANNING APPLICATION FOR REPORT ON CNPA CONSULTATION FROM HIGHLAND COUNCIL ON SCOTTISH EXECUTIVE CONSULTATIONONA PPLICATIONUNDERSECTION380FELECTRICITYACT FOR PROPOSED WINDFARMAT DUNMAGLASS, STRATHNAIRN, INVERNESS SHIRE (PAPER8)

- 82. Don McKee presented a paper recommending that the Committee agree the consultation response in the report to be submitted to the Sc ottish Executive and copied to the Highland Council.
- 83. The Committee briefly discussed the consultation response and the following pointswereraised:
 - a) Impact on high revenue recreational activities and possible loss of tourism revenue.
 - b) Thedistanceofthepr oposedWindFarmfromtheParkboundary.
 - c) TheimportanceofwildlandadjoiningtheParkboundary.
 - d) Theaccuracyofphotomontages.
 - e) Impactonfieldsportseconomy.
- 84. The Committee agreed to approve the consultation response to be submitted to Highland Council with amendments to include reference to the impacts on high value recreational activities such as fields ports.

ANYOTHERBUSINESS

85. Don McKee advised that, in partnership, Aberdeenshire Council and the CNPA, investigated the formation of a substantial len gth of unauthorised hill track on Invercauld Estate and Aberdeenshire Council had subsequently issued an Enforcement Notice and a Stop Notice. DM advised the planning officers had checkedatthebeginning of the weektoensureworkshadceased. DM inform ed

the Committee that the CNPA and Aberdeen shire Council intended to pursue this matter further and endeavour to get the track reinstated.

DATEOFNEXTMEETING

- 86. Friday17 thJune,Ballater.
- 87. CommitteeMembersarerequestedtoensurethatanyApologiesfort hismeeting aresubmittedtothePlanningOfficeinBallater.
- 88. Themeetingconcludedat13.35hrs.